	il and the second of the secon	EC'D & FILED
1	IOSEBILE DECKED DOO	AUG 29 AN 9: 25
2	NPRI CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND	SAN MERRIWETHE
3	75 Caliente Street	V. Alegria
4	Tel: (775) 636-7703	DEBILL
5	Fax: (775) 201-0225 cjcl@npri.org	
6	Attorney for Petitioner	
7	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
8	IN AND FOR CARSON CITY	
9		
10	DOUGLAS E. FRENCH,	Case No.: 17 0C 000231B
11	Plaintiff,	Dept. No. I
12	vs.	
13		
14	ONLY EREST   OF THE VIEW, RENO, THE VADA STOTEM OF	
15	If and the STATE OF NEVADA off Relation to The Nevada	
16	System of Higher Education, The Nevada Board of Regents, and the University of Nevada, Reno;	
17	Defendants.	
18	Detelidants.	
19		
20	<u>CASE APPEAL STATEMENT</u>	
21	1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:	
22		
23	Douglas E. French	
24	2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:	
25	Honorable James T. Russell	
26		
27		
28		

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

Douglas E. French; Joseph F. Becker of NPRI Center for Justice and Constitutional Litigation, 75 Caliente Street, Reno, NV 89509.

4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent:

HEIDI GANSERT in her official capacity as Executive Director, External Relations for the University of Nevada, Reno; UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO; NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION; NEVADA BOARD OF REGENTS; and the STATE OF NEVADA on Relation to The Nevada System of Higher Education, The Nevada Board of Regents, and the University of Nevada, Reno; Melissa P. Barnard, Assistant General Counsel, University of Nevada, Reno, 1664 N. Virginia Street, MS 0550, Reno, NV 89557.

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42:

To the best of appellant's knowledge all counsel are licensed to practice law in Nevada.

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel.

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel.

8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

Non-applicable.

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g. date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief was filed on February 21, 2017; First Amended Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief was filed on May 1, 2017.

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

Plaintiff sought declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants for violating Article 3, Section 1 of the Nevada Constitution – a provision which bars any person "charged with the exercise of power" in one branch of the Nevada government from exercising "any functions, appertaining to either of the others." The appeal herein is from a final order by the District Court in which Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint was dismissed with prejudice on various grounds, including Plaintiff's alleged failure to name a necessary party.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

Case has not been previously submitted.

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

Case does not involve child custody or visitation.

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:

This is a case in which declaratory judgment and injunctive relief is sought on a question of significant public interest relating to a provision in the Nevada Constitution. It is believed by Plaintiff that the case would have no possibility of settlement because Defendants' actions are either unconstitutional or they are not.

This case presents a question on which the Nevada Supreme Court has never fully ruled despite at least fourteen Attorney General Advisory Opinions having been issued over more than a one hundred year span.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2017.

## NPRI CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

BY: JOSEPH F. BECKER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12178 NPRI CENTER FOR JUSTICE

AND CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

75 Caliente Street

Reno, NV 89502 Telephone: (77

elephone: (775) 636-7703

Fax:

(775) 201-0225

Attorney for Plaintiff

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of NPRI Center for Justice and Constitutional Litigation and that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2017 I served the foregoing Case Appeal Statement on all parties by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope with postage prepaid in the U.S. Mail as follows:

Melissa P. Barnard Assistant General Counsel University of Nevada, Reno 1664 N. Virginia St. MS 0550 Reno, NV 89557-0550

NPRI CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

ANNA M. BUCHNER, CP

NPRI CENTER FOR JUSTICE

AND CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

75 Caliente Street Reno, NV 89502

Telephone:

(775) 636-7703

Fax:

(775) 201-0225

Page 5 of 5

1 2

3

56

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28